

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/757,170 | SCHRADER, RHONDA | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Fred Prince | 1724 | |

All Participants:

(1) Fred Prince.

(2) Sendil Devadas.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 September 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Prior art of record.

Claims discussed:

1-30

Prior art documents discussed:

US Pat No 4,181,612

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative and the examiner discussed a proposed amendment that would recite in each of the independent claims that the receptacle has an adjustable slope and would restore "animal support means" in the body of claims 1 and 24, respectively, so that patentable weight may be given to the preambles of the respective claims. Applicant's representative declined to amend the independent claims.